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NTRC Field Studies Team Background

- Formally organized in 2006 as a component of the NIOSH Nanotechnology Research Center
- Conducted 20 site visits in a variety of workplaces
- Tasked with “learning nanomaterial processes”...
- Attempting to fill an important knowledge gap regarding nanomaterial creation and use:
  - *Is there a release?*
  - *To what extent?*
  - *Is there potential worker exposure?*
Nanotechnology Emissions Assessment Technique (NEAT)

- NEAT was developed as an initial step to semi-quantitatively evaluate exposures in nanomaterial workplaces and consists of a combination of field portable, *direct reading instrumentation (DRI)* and *filter-based air sampling* with subsequent laboratory analysis.

- Assessment steps
  - Develop list of target areas, processes, or tasks for DRI
  - Identify potential emission sources
    - Review process and process flow
    - Examine material inputs and discharges
    - Evaluate worker practices and tasks
    - Review literature
NEAT – 2005 to 2010

Methods

- **DRI (CPC and OPC)**
  - Characterize background concentrations
    - At process and in adjacent work areas
    - Average pre task and post task concentrations
    - Short sample times (approximately 1 minute)
    - Document background contributing activities
  - At emission source
  - Compare emission source versus background (differential evaluation)
    - $\uparrow$ CPC $\uparrow$ OPC (300nm – 500nm)  ◊ Presence of nanomaterials
    - $\downarrow$ CPC $\uparrow$ OPC (>1000nm)  ◊ Presence of large particles and/or agglomerates
NEAT – Lessons Learned

- Real-Time Instrumentation
  - Background concentrations fluctuate significantly
    - In excess of $10^6$ particles/cm$^3$
    - Variations by
      - Season
      - Day
      - Within day
    - Averaging pre and post task does not adequately address background influences
    - Data logging would better capture and account for background variations
    - Documentation of critical events essential
    - Careful attention to selection of background location
    - Real-Time Instruments alone are insufficient to adequately evaluate a worksite
NFST – 2011 to Present

Goals

- Evaluates the entire material flow of a process and identifies points of potential material emission that can result in worker exposure.
- Uses an array of instruments and conventional air sampling methods to characterize exposures.
  - Available to the practicing industrial hygienist.
- Evaluates engineering controls and their effectiveness in reducing emissions and exposures.
- Evaluates work practices used during the production or use of nanomaterials.
- Evaluates the use of Personal Protective Equipment in use, if any, including respiratory protection.
NFST – 2011 to Present

Methods

- Preassessment
  - Occupational exposure limits and health effects
    - Review pertinent literature
      - Toxicology
      - Epidemiology
    - Provides context of interpretation of data
  - Develop sampling strategy
    - Integrated samples
    - Real-time instrumentation (RTI)
    - Wipe sampling
NFST – 2011 to Present

Methods

- Sampling Strategy
  - Integrated samples
    - Core component of exposure assessment
    - Filter-cassette based
      - Elements
      - Electron Microscopy
    - Area and personal breathing zone
    - Full-shift and task-based
Integrated Sampling

- Elemental mass
  - Sampling and analytical methods not designed for nanomaterials
    - Specificity
      NMAM 5040 (elemental carbon) versus NMAM 7300 (cadmium)
    - Sensitivity
      10 µm particle weighs the same as $10^9$ (1 billion) 10 nm particles

- Electron microscopy
  - TEM versus SEM
  - Morphology
  - EDS for chemical composition
  - Particle count
  - No counting convention exists

- Respirable fraction

  **Inhalable**
  - 100 µm diameter

  **Thoracic**
  - 10 µm diameter

  **Respirable**
  - 4 µm diameter
Direct Reading Instruments

- TSI CPC 3007 (TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN)
- ARTI HHPC-6 (Hach Company, Grants Pass, OR)
- TSI DustTrak DRX (TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN)
Appropriate Use of DRIs

- Assess efficacy of engineering controls
- Assess potential for emission of specific processes/tasks
- Identify general increases or decreases in total particle concentration
- Provide supporting evidence for integrated samples
Limitations of DRIs

- **No** material identification
- Condensation Particle Counter
  - Engineered to measure ‘particle’ concentrations – not fibers
  - Upper dynamic range in the order of $10^5$ pt/cc
- Small inlet can become clogged with larger particles
- Optical Particle Counter (DustTrak)
  - Unable to accurately assign ‘size bin’ to fibrous materials
- Optical Particle Counter (ARTI)
  - Unable to accurately assign ‘size bin’ to fibrous materials
  - Only total count is useful data
    - Only 50% collection efficiency for the smallest size bin (0.3-0.5 $\mu$m)
    - Unable to correct accurately due to inaccurate size designations
  - Clean room instrument
    - Inlet easily clogged in dusty environment
Wipe Sampling

- Surface contamination
- No correlation with worker inhalation exposures
- Assess worker hygiene practice
- NMAM 9102
  - Elements
  - Wash ‘n Dry or ASTM equivalent
    - Pre-packaged moist disposable towelette
  - Analysis by inductively coupled argon plasma atomic emission spectroscopy
Vacuum Sampling

- Surface contamination
  - Filter sock
    - More mass
    - Less time
    - Use of a template
    - Analysis requires resuspension
  - 37-mm filter cassette
    - Good for hard to reach areas
    - Less mass
    - Labor intensive
    - Amenable to standard sample analysis and EM
NFST – 2010 to present

12 Field Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of facilities</th>
<th>Number of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary producer of nanomaterials</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary user of nanomaterials (manufacturer)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Agents
  - Carbon nanotubes, aluminum oxide, amorphous silica, cerium oxide, quantum dots, silver nanowires, zirconium oxide, hafnium oxide, catalytic nanoparticles (iron, nickel, silver-palladium, and magnesium) and nickel-titanium alloy